

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

MAYSOUN ABUDAYYEH, individually
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

ENVOY AIR, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 21-cv-00142

Judge Andrea R. Wood
Magistrate Judge Heather K. McShain

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion and Memorandum in Support of Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement of the above-captioned matter (the "Action") between Plaintiff MAYSOUN ABUDAYYEH ("Plaintiff"), and ENVOY AIR, INC, ("Defendant") (collectively, "the Parties"), as set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant (the "Settlement Agreement"), and the Court having considered the papers filed and proceedings in this matter, and being fully advised in the premises, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as follows:

1. Capitalized terms not defined in this Order are defined in the Parties' Settlement Agreement.
2. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.
3. With the assistance of Magistrate Judge Heather K. McShain, the Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arm's-length between counsel for the Parties who are experienced in class action litigation.

4. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that Class Counsel has adequately represented the proposed Class Members.

5. For settlement purposes only, the Court certifies a Settlement Class defined collectively as the following subclasses:

Subclass 1: The 192 former Envoy passenger service agents (“PSAs”) who worked at O’Hare International Airport (“O’Hare”) and who used handpunch and/or fingerscan timeclocks between December 23, 2015 and June 28, 2016, in conjunction with their employment at Envoy, and who were no longer employed with Envoy as of February 25, 2022, and who do not opt-out of the Settlement prior to the Opt-Out Deadline. In addition, Subclass 1 shall include the 24 current and former Envoy non-union management and support staff employees who worked at O’Hare and who used handpunch and/or fingerscan timeclocks between December 23, 2015 and June 28, 2016, in conjunction with their employment at Envoy, and who do not opt-out of the Settlement prior to the Opt-Out Deadline.

Subclass 2: The 134 current and former Envoy PSAs who worked at O’Hare and who used handpunch and/or fingerscan timeclocks between December 23, 2015 and June 28, 2016, in conjunction with their employment at Envoy, and who were still employed with Envoy as of February 25, 2022, and who do not opt-out of the Settlement prior to the Opt-Out Deadline.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are (1) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families, (2) Defendant, Defendant’s subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest, (3) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Settlement Class, and (4) the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded persons.

6. The Court finds that distribution of the Notice to the proposed Class Members is justified because Plaintiff has shown that the Court will likely be able to (i) approve the Settlement under Rule 23(e)(2) and (ii) certify the proposed class for purposes of settlement.

7. The Court finds that, subject to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, is likely to be approved under Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 23(e)(2), and is in the best interests of the Settlement Class set forth above. The Court further finds that the Settlement Agreement substantially fulfills the purposes and objectives of the class action, and provides substantial relief to the Settlement Class without the risks, burdens, costs, or delay associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal. The Court also finds that the Settlement Agreement (a) is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced class action attorneys; (b) is sufficient to warrant notice of the settlement and the Final Approval Hearing to be disseminated to the Settlement Class; (c) meets all applicable requirements of law, including Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715; and (d) is not a finding or admission of liability by the Defendant or any other parties.

8. For settlement purposes only, the Court appoints Maysoun Abudayyeh as the Class Representative.

9. For settlement purposes only, the Court appoints as Class Counsel:

Alejandro Caffarelli Alexis D. Martin Caffarelli & Associates, Ltd. 224 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 300 Chicago, Illinois 60604 Tel. (312) 763-6880 amartin@caffarelli.com	Robin Potter Mara Baltabols Fish Potter Bolaños, P.C. 200 East Fifth Ave., Suite 123 Naperville, IL 60563 (312) 778-5002 admin@fishlawfirm.com
--	--

10. The Court appoints Analytics Consulting, LLC as the Settlement Administrator to perform all duties described in the Settlement Agreement and ordered by this Court.

11. The Court finds that distribution of the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Notice") by mail and, where reasonably possible, email is the best practicable means of providing notice under the circumstances and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the proposed Settlement and the Final Approval Hearing to all persons affected by or entitled to participate in the Settlement, in full compliance with the notice requirements of Fed. R.

Civ. P. 23, due process, the Constitution of the United States, and other applicable laws. The proposed Notice is accurate, objective, and informative. It provides Class Members with all of the information necessary to evaluate the fairness of the Settlement and to make an informed decision regarding whether to participate in the Settlement.

12. Any Settlement Class Member may request to be excluded from the Settlement by submitting a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator as described in the Notice within 45 days from the date of initial Notice distribution.

13. Any Settlement Class Member who excludes himself or herself from the Settlement will not be entitled to any recovery under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal, or comment on it.

14. Any Class Member who does not request to be excluded from the Settlement may object to the Settlement by submitting a written statement to the Settlement Administrator as described in the Notice within 45 days from the date of initial Notice distribution.

15. Settlement Class Counsel shall file a motion for attorney fees, litigation costs, settlement administration costs, and the Class Representative's Enhancement Award ("Fee Petition") no later than January 9, 2022.

16. Settlement Class Counsel shall file a motion for final approval of the Settlement no later than January 9, 2022. The motion for final approval shall include copies of any objections submitted and identify any Settlement Class Members who have requested to be excluded from the Settlement.

17. The Court schedules a Fairness Hearing for **January 19, 2022 at 9:00AM** in Courtroom 1925 of the Everette McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, IL 60604, to consider, among other things, (1) whether to finally approve the Settlement; (2) whether to approve Class Counsel's request for attorney fees and litigation costs;

(3) whether to approve the Settlement Administrator's costs; and (4) whether to approve the Class Representative's request for an Enhancement Award. Settlement Class Members may, but are not required to, appear at the Fairness Hearing and request to speak in favor or against the Settlement. Any person who wishes to attend the Fairness Hearing, but is unable to attend in person may contact the Courtroom Deputy to request to attend by remote means.

18. The Fairness Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, transferred, or continued by order of the Court without further notice to Settlement Class Members. At or following the Fairness Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Judgment approving the Settlement and entering a Final Approval Order in accordance with the Settlement that adjudicates the rights of all Settlement Class Members.

Entered: October 4, 2022



Andrea R. Wood
United States District Judge